



## UNITED STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.		
9/116,310	07/15/98	WOODDRUFF		R	04239	0.P5358	
		TM21/0813		EXAMINER			
AWRENCE M CHO			2	ZIEMER,R			
LAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR 2400 WILSHIRE BLVD		& ZAFMAN		ART UN	IIT I	PAPER NUMBER	
2400 WILSHIF TH FLOOR	E DLVD		•** •6	2184			
OS ANGELES CA 90025		DATE MAILED: 08/13/		⊅ 7 0 f			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

## **Advisory Action**

Application No. 09/116,310 Applican

Wooddruff

Examiner

Rita Ziemer

Art Unit 2184

	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
Ther reject allow	REPLY FILED <u>2 Aug 2001</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. refore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid the abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final action under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for vance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in pliance with 37 CFR 1.114.
	THE PERIOD FOR REPLY [check only a) or b)]
a)	The period for reply expires3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
b)	In view of the early submission of the proposed reply (within two months as set forth in MPEP § 706.07 (f)), the period for reply expires on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, OR continues to run from the mailing date of the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.
e: a <sub>[</sub> s	extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The ppropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally et in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the nailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
1. 🗆	A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2. 🗆	requisite fees.
3. 🛚	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
(a	) 🕅 they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See NOTE below);
(b	) □ they raise the issue of new matter. (See NOTE below);
(c)	they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d)	) $\square$ they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
	NOTE: It would be necessary to make a search for diagnostic software specifically not using an operating system stored
	within the comptuer system being diagnosed.
4. 🗆	
5. 🗆	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claim(s).
6. 🗆	The a)   affidavit, b)   exhibit, or c)   frequest for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
7. 🗆	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
8. X	For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claim(s) is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):
	Claim(s) allowed:
	Claim(s) objected to:
	Claim(s) rejected: 1-22
9. 🗆	The proposed drawing correction filed ona) has b) has not been approved by the Examiner.
10. 🗆	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).
11 🗆	a Russia M
11. LJ	ROBERT BEAUSOLEIL SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
	and Trademark Office  TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2.1  Advisory Action  Part of Report No. 7